

Sydney Airport Community Forum

Document: 2003/045 Issued: 27 May 2003

SYDNEY AIRPORT COMMUNITY FORUM

35th Meeting

Conference Room A, 8th Floor, 70 Phillip Street, Sydney NSW 2000

31 March 2003

SUMMARY RECORD

AGENDA ITEM 1: Opening Remarks and Adoption of Agenda

The Chair opened the meeting at 9.05 am and welcomed members to the meeting.

The Chair informed the meeting that the Minister had appointed the Board of Airline Representatives to Australia (BARA) to the Forum and welcomed Ms Sharen Annand to the meeting who was representing Mr Warren Bennett.

The Chair noted the following members were represented by proxies

- The Hon Bruce Baird MP (proxy was Trent Mumford)
- Cr Mark Bonanno (proxy was Dr David Niven)
- Mr Joe Hockey MP (proxy was Mark Coure)
- Mr Robert McClelland MP (proxy was Christopher Connolly)
- Mr John Murphy MP (proxy was Robert Balzola)
- Cr Phil Blight (proxy was Ken McDonnell)

The Chair noted an apology from Ms Sandra Nori MLA.

The Chair acknowledged Mr Anthony Roberts' recent election to the NSW State Parliament as the State Member for Lane Cove.

The Chair noted the recent retirement of Mr Dick Creak from the Overnight Air Freight Operators Association and the appointment of Mr Howard Knox as the Executive Director.

Members adopted the provisional agenda without amendment. The Senator indicated that there was to be presentation and discussion on the Sydney Airport Master Plan for the morning session. The afternoon session was for normal business.

AGENDA ITEM 2: Sydney Airport Master Plan

Listed below is a series of presentations given on the Sydney Airport Master Plan -

- Overview, Sydney Airport Corporation Ltd
- What Drives Community Attitudes, Stollznow Research
- Community Input and Consultation on Sydney Airport
- Land Use Planning: Methodology
- Procedures for Reporting Predicted Noise Impacts, Wilkinson Murray Pty Ltd
- Reporting of Information on Predicted Noise Impacts, Wilkinson Murray Pty Ltd

Ms Lisa Smith indicated to Members that they had been given an outline view of the presentations along with copies on compact disk. She introduced the team giving the presentations

- Neil Stollznow, Stollznow Research
- Glen Stuart, Sydney Airport Corporations Ltd
- Martin James, Celsius Research



- Rob Bullen, Wilkinson Murray Research
- Ansgar Fischer, Hochtief Airport/ASC

The Stollznow Research gave the first presentation on *What Drives Attitudes to Sydney Airport*.

Information was presented on the key findings of annual community attitude surveys, undertaken on behalf of SACL since 1998. Information regarding the Master Plan specific community monitoring program was also presented. This presentation addressed the methodology of this program, and results to date.

The presenters indicated that the community wants more information about airport related issues in order to allay any concerns. The consultant's research points strongly towards the provision of information for the community as a basic necessity for the airport's consideration.

SACL emphasised that it was important for them to understand fully the community's beliefs and perceptions about the airport in order to facilitate good communication.

SACL indicated that they did not regard this survey as consultation on the master plan to meet the requirements of the Act. When they go through that public consultation process, names of those consulted will be identified as required.

It was pointed out that the community's views were drawn from suburbs neighbouring the airport, those suburbs under flight paths, and from the whole of Sydney Basin.

SACL Presentation

SACL's presentation focussed on the community values and traffic and passenger forecast information which form the basis of master plan. SACL indicated that it will take 10 years for aircraft traffic levels to return to levels experienced in 2001. Traffic levels beyond 10 years are difficult to predict due to variables such as demand for larger aircraft and technology.

A major issue raised by SACF members was that Sydney residents are apprehensive that growth at the airport may make the situation worse for them than it currently is now. Members commented that the community fears the airport would move towards a 24 hour operation. SACL reiterated that the master plan is unable to change the curfew and movement cap, because they are legislated. Further, SACL alone is unable to change flight paths. SACL stated that while this master plan demonstrates that there is no need to change noise sharing flight paths under LTOP, SACF may, at some point in the future, want to change the flight paths or LTOP operations.

SACL indicated LTOP targets are an issue for the Forum as a whole and should be addressed as part of the LTOP review. The Kurnell community representative expressed concern that freight traffic may grow significantly in the near future. SACL would provide further information to Mr Hill.

SACL advised that there would also be no additions to existing runways. The Airports Act 1996 requires a review of the master plan every five years and this will address



issues that emerge during these years. Members expressed concern that the community needs continual information on the airport developments and operations.

The members were advised that Airservices will be providing assistance in terms of airfield modelling and the new ANEF will require its certification.

Ouestions were raised about the potential for the Airport's growth to push the boundaries of current capacity for runways and facilities. The meeting was advised that the curfew and cap limit capacity. Growth is accommodated within the current constraints. The meeting raised the point that in time the operations will force greater use of the parallel runways; SACL acknowledged this but advised that this may be some time away (further information on this issue would come from current studies being undertaken by SACL). New large aircraft such as the Airbus A380 can depart from the east-west runway.

The presentation on land use planning by Mr Glen Stuart addressed planning on and around the Sydney Airport. The major point that emerged was that building activity on the airport would be incremental to accommodate passenger growth.

SACL also gave a presentation on master plan communications and consultation, which was aimed at giving information to, and seeking it from, the community. It would be an extensive program using a variety of communication methods. SACL indicated that SACF has an important role for the primary consultation mechanism in providing them with community feedback and information on issues for consideration in the master plan and beyond. The meeting acknowledged that SACF is the primary body for the provision of information to the Minister. SACL indicated that it needs to know from the Forum how its wants to be involved in the process.

The final presentation was on the proposed Procedures for Reporting Predicted Noise Impacts. This covered the methods to describe noise to the community using, for example, the N70 (average number of aircraft noise events louder than 70dB(A)), average and typical day movements on various tracks and the amount of respite from noise. SACF was asked to comment on the proposal.

For details on the presentations please refer to the compact disks provided by SACL

Actions items for the Sydney Airport Corporation Ltd (SACL)

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/1 SACL as part of its master planning process ensure that its comprehensive public information programme addresses the issues of concern from the community as well as community's perceptions about the airport processes, management practices and, in particular, the airport's future role.

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/2 SACL (through Celsius Research) to provide further information to Mr Connolly on the research findings relating to statistical data presented on airport users and "meeters and greeters" as they appeared quite high.

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/3 SACL to provide Kevin Hill with details on forecasts of freight operations.

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/4. SACL to provide John Clarke with information on their predictions for aircraft movements for regional airlines.

Actions items for the Sydney Airport Community Forum (SACF)

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/5 SACF in consultation with Mr Murphy MP write to the Minister seeking his views ensuring that SACL include LTOP in its master plan

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/6. Mr Balzola (proxy for Mr Murphy MP) to draft a motion before the next meeting of SACF outlining its concerns with the master plan process and what inclusions it may desire in the draft master plan.

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/7. SACF to make a submission to SACL as part of its community consultation phase of the Master Plan

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/8. SACF to give further consideration on how to broaden its ongoing role in this consultation process for the master plan.

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/9. SACF to give further consideration on how it would like the impact of noise presented to local communities.

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/10 SACF to give consideration how it wishes to take up the recommendations raised in Senate Inquiry into the Development of the Brisbane Airport Master Plan.

Agenda Item 3 Confirmation of Draft Summary Record

The Draft Summary Record from the 34th Meeting on 29 November 2003 was confirmed without amendment.

Agenda Item 4 Matters Arising and/or Outstanding from Previous Meeting

The Chair indicated that the Minister had replied to her letter conveying the outcomes of the September meeting. The Chair advised the meeting that the Minister had not yet replied to her letter of 14 March 2003 conveying the outcomes of the November meeting.



The Chair advised that the videos on the LTOP Roadshow and GPS technology had been circulated to members.

The Chair advised that two nominations had been received for the consultant for the LTOP Review and these submissions will be put forward for consideration. The Chair acknowledged that normal Government guidelines on how to handle these submissions would apply. As this is an ongoing matter, SACF will continue to monitor it.

The Chair acknowledged that there were changes to the mailing arrangements for SACF documents using electronic methods as much as possible. Community Members indicated their appreciation in receiving paper copies as well as electronic copies.

The Chair acknowledged that the electronic advice being sent to Members concerning future meetings of the IMC was working as members had taken up the invitation to attend. Airservices requested that members wishing to attend IMC meetings give them sufficient prior notice to allow them to arrange the appropriate security passes to attend the meetings.

The Chair pointed out that Airservices Special Noise Monitoring report had been circulated and that if members required copies then they should approach the Secretariat.

Mr Ken McDonnell requested that SACF seek further information from the Minister in relation to the issues raised in the resolution on Bankstown Airport.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/11 SACF write to the Minister seeking further information in relation to the issues raised in the resolution on Bankstown Airport that was presented at the last meeting.

Mr Hill raised the issue of Kurnell Insulation. The Chair indicated that more information was available and that this matter would be addressed under Agenda Item 6.

Agenda Item 5: Report from the LTOP IMC, Review of LTOP and Airservices **Issues**

Mr Hill reported on issues addressed at the recent IMC meetings. Mr Hill indicated that movements over the north are still quite high and they are unsure how to overcome this. The Report of the IMC Taskforce on the High and Wide concept was the main item on the agenda and Mr Ludlow would give a presentation on this later in the meeting.

Airservices indicated that it had withdrawn its offer to reinstate the 1800 Freecall number due to receiving a number of threatening telephone calls. Airservices had Occupational Health and Safety issues to consider and had a duty of care to staff because of the nuisance and threatening phone calls. There are fewer abusive calls received with the paid service.



Members discussed this issue at length indicating that local councils had introduced systems to promote this services. Members were suspicious that the reduced number of complaints from 1300 number may produce biased data as people do not phone when they have to pay. Members suggested that the service could be reintroduced for a trial period monitoring closely the nature of the calls received.

The Chair indicated that SACF needs the best solution to receive genuine community views as well as the community having the best option to convey their views. Comments mentioned that systems could be introduced to filter out these troublesome callers who use computer systems to lodge numerous calls to the detriment of genuine callers.

The Chair indicated that Members of the Forum view the 1800 number for lodging complaints on noise as a valuable service to the community.

Ken McDonnell moved seconded by Cr Sue Hoopman that

SACF write to Airservices seeking further clarification for its decision not to reintroduce the freecall service.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/12 SACF Chair to write to Airservices Australia concerning its decision to withdraw the 1800 number for residents to lodge noise complaints and request a reintroduction of the service for a trial period for 3 months.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/13 SACF Chair to contact Mr McLean and discuss this issue of the reintroduction of the 1800 Freecall service.

Airservices pointed out that the Australian Federal Police were investigating these abusive calls. The Chair concurred that this was a most appropriate course of action.

LTOP Review

The Chair announced that the Minister had responded favourably towards the Review of the Long Term Operating Plan and SACF could move forward. The Chair indicated that the Prime Minister had given his assent to the Review.

The Chair highlighted the main issues from the Minister's letter

- He supports the terms of reference
- Purpose of the review is to look at the basic elements of LTOP
- Determine the actual reasons why some modes are used more often than others
- Identify any constraints that need to be overcome.

The Chair outlined other issues still to be resolved were



- Funding of the Review
- Appointment of the Consultant
 - the identification of a suitable consultant will be done between the Department and SACF sub committee members

The Chair indicated that it may be necessary to have a one hour meeting of the sub-committee before the next regular meeting of the Forum.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/14. The Chair to set a one hour meeting before the next Forum meeting to discuss issues pertaining to the LTOP Review.

Communication between members will be done by email.

The Chair indicated that the Mr Anthony Roberts as former Mayor of Lane Cove was nominated for membership of the sub-committee and given his recent election to the State Parliament, Cr May may wish to discuss Lane Cove's representation on the sub-committee.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/15 Cr May to discuss with Mr Roberts MLA who will be the Lane Cove representative on the LTOP Review subcommittee.

Terminal Control Unit

The Chair pointed out that the Civil Air had written to SACF on 28 November 2002 concerning the relocation of the Terminal Control Unit (TCU) to Melbourne. Airservices reported on the current position indicating that Airservices Board was considering this matter. Airservices pointed out that more work was required on the feasibility of this relocation and would report to the Board in June. Issues for consideration are safety, operational efficiencies including the application of LTOP and costs associated with the relocation. Airservices advised that Civil Air have written direct to the Board on this matter.

The Chair advised that on 7 March 2003 Civil Air forwarded an information paper on this matter, which was referred to Airservices for comment. Airservices indicated that on 28 March 2003 they responded to Civil Air's recent information paper. The Chair indicated that Civil Air's information paper and Airservices reponse will be circulated to Members.

Industry highlighted that the TCU relocation was primarily an employment and industrial matter for Airservices and not for SACF. The Chair indicated that this issue had been placed on the agenda previously and that there are some implications for stakeholders. Airservices indicated that TCU was placed on the agenda as part of its stakeholder relationships.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/16 Secretariat to circulate recent correspondence from Civil Air to members for information.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/17 Airservices to provide further information at the next meeting on this relocation of the Terminal Control Unit

Emerging Aviation Technologies

The Chair reiterated that the GPS videos were sent to Members in February for viewing. Members raised no new issues.

Airspace Redesign

The Chair announced that there would be a brief presentation by Mr Jim Ludlow on LTOP's High and Wide concept.

The Chair indicated that in terms of meeting procedures SACF members should follow a formal process for placing items on the agenda for future meetings.

Senator Payne indicated that timing was a major constraint at meetings and it is difficult to accommodate items at short notice.

Late notification of items makes it difficult to manage meetings of twenty people as it gives members little opportunity to consider the potential issues and any new material in advance. The Chair sought the co-operation of Members, Government Departments and Agencies to notify the Secretariat well in advance of meetings of agenda items.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/18 Secretariat to institute arrangements to request from Members that they provide issues and items for discussion at meetings no later than 48 hours before the briefing session with the Chair (weekends not included).

Maria Patrinos introduced Jim Ludlow from Jitahdas Consulting who gave a presentation on the *Study to implement LTOP – High and Wide Flight paths*. A copy of this presentation is contained in the summary record.

Mr Ludlow advised that there were a significant number of meetings within the community to consider the issues involved. There were significant findings from the community and industry as part of this report.

Trident could not be introduced because of limitations of the current technology. The community also saw that it was difficult to introduce high and wide. The complexity of the configuration of the tracks made it operationally difficult to introduce it. If Trident was introduced, it would mean returning to parallel runway operations. There were also safety issues to consider.

The major recommendations from the report indicated that

- introduction would produce significant deficiencies with detrimental impacts on noise sharing
- industry did not support it due to increase costs and CO2 emissions



- the community did not support the flight paths due to increased problems associated with aircraft noise and increased pollution
- identification of alternative flight paths meeting community and industry objectives
- Minister be approached to consider further development of these flight paths.

Members noted that the High and Wide could not be achieved.

Airservices advised that the Report on High and Wide had been sent to the Minister on Friday 28 March 2003. The Chair indicated that the letter to the Minister on the outcomes of the meeting will highlight SACF's endorsement of the Report on High and Wide and that members would welcome his early consideration of the recommendations contained in the report.

It was acknowledged that the results presented from this Task Force could not have been achieved without the assistance of Mr Jim Ludlow.

The Chair noted the onerous work involved in undertaking this task and thanked the community representatives from the Forum along with Airservices and Industry for their participation.

The following motion was put to the meeting by Mr Trevor Jensen and seconded by Mr Michael Megna

That SACF endorses the report "Study to Implement LTOP – High and Wide Flight Paths" and convey its endorsement in writing the Minister.

Motion was carried

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/19 SACF to write to the Minister conveying its endorsement of the Report "Study to implement LTOP – High and Wide Flight Paths" from the Airspace Redesign Task Force which was presented to SACF by Mr Jim Ludlow, Jitahdas Consulting.

Agenda Item 6: Noise Insulation

The Chair noted that this is a standing item to allow discussion by members on possible expansion of the scheme.

The Chair indicated that Mr Hill will receive a letter from the Minister advising that a Departmental Noise Expert will assist him in the development of the submission from the community. This assistance was contingent on Departmental work priorities.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/20 The Chair indicated that the Minister has agreed to the provision of technical assistance from his Department for the development of the Kurnell submission and that Mr Hill should receive that advice in the near future.

Mr Steve Holroyd noted that in the Noise Amelioration Report 225 offers for noise insulation had been withdrawn. He requested further information on this matter.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/21 DOTARS to provide advice on why 225 offers for noise insulation had been withdrawn.

Mr Holroyd noted that the current programme is close to completion and raised whether SACF should now look into possible extension of the programme in view of the master planning exercise for Sydney Airport which will entrench the airport in its current location for at least 20 years. SACF discussed whether the noise insulation programme ought to be expanded beyond the current boundaries produced by the ANEF taking in a much wider part of the community. It was noted that the boundaries dissect suburbs making one house eligible while houses over the road are ineligible.

SACL noted that the master plan requires new ANEFs and the boundaries of them are likely to move out.

The Chair emphasised that insulation is an important issue. She indicated that SACF should write to the Minister concerning the potential expansion of noise insulation to address the anomalous issues that emerge under the current scheme. The advice should also mention the Kurnell insulation to ensure it remains firmly on the agenda.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/22 SACF to write to the Minister concerning the possible expansion of the noise insulation programme to areas outside the current boundaries set by the ANEF and to include Kurnell as a special case to receive noise insulation to ensure it remains a prominent issue.

Agenda Item 7: Noise Monitoring

The Chair noted that Airservices report on Special Noise Monitoring Programme had been tabled at previous meetings and they had been sent to members who requested them.

No new issues were raised.

Agenda Item 8 Standing Operational Reports

Standing Reports

The Chair noted that a number of standing reports had been issued since the last meeting.

The Chair noted that the security environment was affecting the number of dispensations.

Agenda 9: SACF Correspondence and Issues Raised by the Public

Correspondence

The Chair noted the correspondence and indicated that it had been addressed during other agenda items

For future meetings and correspondence, Trevor Jensen will be identified as representing Qantas and Mr Warren Bennett as representing the Board of Airline Representatives in Australia.

Community Advocate

The Chair noted that Mr Clarke was pursuing position of a community advocate within Airservices Australia.

Mr Frere (representing Mr Clarke) spoke to this proposal indicating that community advocate positions were being pursued throughout the world and there were organisations adopting full time advocate positions within their structures. The role needs to be defined but the position needs to have the community's trust and an intimate knowledge of the industry. The advocate should be able to work within Airservices representing the community on a day to day basis on the large and small issues.

Mr Frere indicated that Mr Clarke had offered to assist with the development of the terms of reference, recruitment of a suitable advocate and other issues on this topic. Mr Frere indicated that this position has considerable value for the community as a whole.

The meeting was advised that Airservices were comfortable with having a community advocate located in its organisation on a particular day. However, issues such as remuneration require resolution.

The Chair suggested that Mr Clarke correspond with herself, Ken McLean, Airservices and Linda Addison from DOTARS concerning an appropriate person as Community Advocate. The Chair was hopeful that the Community Advocate would be placed within Airservices before the next meeting so that they can report back to the Forum.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/23 Mr Clarke to correspond with the Chair, Mr McLean, Airservices and Ms Linda Addison, DOTARS concerning an appropriate person for placement in Airservices as a community advocate before the next meeting of the Forum.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/24 Community Advocate to report back to SACF on issues identified during his or her placement at Airservices that may be of community concern.

The Chair noted that the placement of the Community Advocate in Airservices is a notable step towards SACF and Airservices working together.

An idea was proffered that the community advocate position could have a role in the master planning process but the Chair thought this was a bit premature and it was best to have them firstly placed in Airservices. SACF still had to determine how it was to proceed with its role in the master planning process.

Airservices Australia Internet Service

Airservices indicated that there has been little formal advertising of the internet services but regular complainants are informed that they can use the Airservices website to lodge complaints.

The Chair noted that Airservices currently provide details of complaints lodged by phone and enquired whether SACF would receive logs of complaints lodged on the Internet. Airservices indicated that SACF would receive details of calls lodged with the website.

It was suggested that Airservices consider using local papers to advertise the Internet as a method for lodging noise complaints. Also, Councils could place links on their websites for people to access this option. Airservices indicated they would contemplate other methods to promote the Internet option for lodging complaints.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/25 Airservices to report to SACF with the URL (Universal Resource Locator), number and details of calls lodged over the Internet and, also, to give further consideration to using other means for the promotion of the Internet service.

Have Your Say Calls

The Chair noted that this service had received obscene and threatening messages and this was now the subject of an investigation by the Australian Federal Police. Members will be updated on this matter at future meetings.

Agenda Item 10: Other Business

The Chair acknowledged three items arising from the morning's agenda items -

- 1. Presentation from Mr Balzola concerning the Airports Act
- 2. SACF's submission to the SACL's Community Consultation Panel on the Master Plan and SACF's ongoing involvement in this process
- 3. SACF requirements for how it wants the noise impacts presented

Mr Balzola gave a presentation on the Airports Act 1996 drawing on the experience of the Senate Inquiry into the Brisbane Airport Master Plan. Attachment C is a copy of this presentation.

The Chair will arrange for a copy of the Government's response to the Senate Inquiry to be made available for members and will seek an update on this issue.

The members considered this matter warranted closer attention preferring the matter to be carried over until the next meeting to allow time to give further consideration of the issues.

Submissions to the SACL on the master plan can be done by ongoing consultation or through public consultation process. SACF can continue to make submissions as an ongoing process.

The Chair acknowledged the importance of this issue – legally, legislatively and from a community perspective for the Forum – as well as the timeframes for lodgement of submissions. She noted that if necessary a meeting could be convened at short notice to discuss this issue.

The Chair indicated that the Government's response could be down loaded from the Internet but would be provided to SACF members for consideration. Senator Payne also sought an update from the Department concerning the reasons for implementation or for non-implementation of the eight recommendations [Recommendations from the Senate Inquiry] that were referred to in Mr Balzola's report.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/26 SACF Chair in consultation with Mr Balzola draft a motion that outlines its concerns with the master plan process and elaborate on what may be included in the draft master plan.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/27 SACF members to receive a copy of the Senate's Report on the Inquiry into the Development of Brisbane Airport Corporation Master Plan.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/28 The Department of Transport and Regional Services to provide a report on the reasons for implementation and non implementation of the eight recommendations contained in the Senate Report from the Inquiry into Development of Brisbane Airport Corporation Master Plan.

The Chair raised the second issue of SACF's submission to SACL on the master planning process. Issues to consider were how SACF approaches this matter and the level of its engagement.

It was suggested that a consultant could be engaged to reduce the pressure and constraints on Members' time. Although, there were views to the contrary as some members wanted direct involvement. It was pointed out that SACL was bound by legislative requirements therefore the timeframes could not be changed.

The Chair's suggestion was to have an additional meeting within the next month of interested members to construct SACF submission on the master plan. There should



be sufficient interest from members to ensure that the interests are covered for those members unable to attend.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/29 The Chair to convene a meeting within the month to discuss SACF's contribution to the Sydney Airport Master Plan.

The Chair indicated that the issues discussed during the additional meetings on SACF's submission will be brought to the full SACF meetings.

Date of Next Meeting

The date of the next meeting has been tentatively set for Friday 20 June 2003 and members should set aside the whole day just in case it is required.

The meeting was closed at 3.30pm.

ATTACHMENT A: Attendance

Members

M Payne Senator for NSW, Chair

S Annand Board of Airline Representatives in Australia

V Badalati Mayor of Hurstville

R Balzola Representing John Murphy MP, Federal Member for Lowe

J Clarke Representing Upper North Shore (morning session)

C Connolly Representing Robert McClelland MP, Federal Member for Barton

M Coure Representing Joe Hockey MP, Federal Member for North Sydney

D Frere Representing John Clarke, Upper North shore (afternoon session)

K Hill Representing the Kurnell Community

S Holroyd Rockdale Council

S Hoopmann Representing the Bennelong Community

T Jensen Qantas Airways

J May Lane Cove Council

K McDonnell Representing Sutherland Council

M Megna Representing Inner West

T Mumford Representing Bruce Baird MP, Federal Member for Cook

D Niven Representing Ashfield Council

M Patrinos Canterbury Residents Against Aircraft Noise

A Roberts State Member for Lane Cove

F Sinclair King Representing the Wentworth Community

L Smith Sydney Airport Corporations Ltd



Advisers/Secretariat

L Addison Dept of Transport and Regional Services D Savage Dept of Transport and Regional Services G Kelly Dept of Transport and Regional Services

Senator Payne's Office A Joseph

A But Airservices Australia P Farrell Airservices Australia S Smith Airservices Australia

K Allcott Sydney Airports Corporation Ltd P Gibbs Sydney Airports Corporation Ltd Sydney Airports Corporation Ltd K Harrigan Sydney Airports Corporation Ltd G Stuart N Valentine Sydney Airports Corporation Ltd

R Allen CASA

Observers

A Fisher Hochtief Airport/ASC Qantas Airways Ltd D Robinson A Sellick Qantas Airways Ltd

H Knox AAOA

Clover Moore MP for Bligh JCM Jones

J Ludlow Jitahdas Consulting R Bullen Wilkinson Murray

J Dale **CAC**

M James Celsius Research N Stollznow Stollznow Research

ACTION ITEMS FROM THE SACF MEETING ON 31 MARCH 2003

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/1 SACL as part of its master planning process ensure that its comprehensive public information programme addresses the issues of concern from the community as well as community's perceptions about the airport processes, management practices and, in particular, the airport's future role.

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/2. SACL (through Celsius Research) to provide further information to Mr Connolly on the research findings relating to statistical data presented on airport users and meeters and greeters as the y appeared quite high.

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/3. SACL to provide Kevin Hill with details on forecasts for freight operations.

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/4. SACL to provide John Clarke with information on their predictions for aircraft movements for regional airlines.

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/5 SACF in consultation with Mr Murphy MP write to the Minister seeking his views ensuring that SACL include LTOP in its master plan

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/6. Mr Balzola (proxy for Mr Murphy MP) to draft a motion before the next meeting of SACF outlining its concerns with the master plan process and what inclusions it may desire in the draft master plan.

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/7 SACF to make a submission to SACL as part of its community consultation phase of the Master Plan

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/8 SACF to give further consideration on how to broaden its ongoing role in this consultation process on the master plan..

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/9 SACF to give further consideration on how it would like the impact of noise presented to local communities.

Agenda Item 2 Action 35/10 SACF to give consideration how it wishes to take up the recommendations raised in Senate Inquiry into the Development of the Brisbane Airport Master Plan.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/11 SACF write to the Minister seeking further information in relation to the issues raised in the resolution on Bankstown Airport that was presented at the last meeting.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/12 SACF Chair to write to Airservices Australia concerning its decision to withdraw the 1800 number for residents to lodge noise complaints and request a reintroduction of the service for a trial period for 3 months.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/13 .SACF Chair to contact Mr McLean and discuss this issue of the reintroduction of the 1800 Freecall service.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/14 The Chair to set a one hour meeting before the next Forum meeting to discuss issues pertaining to the LTOP Review. Communication between members will be done by email.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/15 Cr May to discuss with Mr Roberts MLA who will be the Lane Cove representative on the LTOP Review subcommittee.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/16 Secretariat to circulate recent correspondence from Civil Air to members for information.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/17 Airservices to provide further information at the next meeting on this relocation of the Terminal Control Unit

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/18 Secretariat institute arrangements to request from Members that they provide issues and items for discussion at meetings no later than 48 hours before the briefing session with the Chair (weekends not included).

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/19 SACF to write to the Minister conveying its endorsement of the Report "Study to implement LTOP – High and Wide Flight Paths" from the Airspace Redesign Task Force which was presented to SACF by Mr Jim Ludlow, Jitahdas Consulting.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/20 The Chair indicated that the Minister has agreed to the provision of technical assistance from his Department for the development of the Kurnell submission and that Mr Hill should receive that advice in the near future.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/21 DOTARS to provide advice on why 225 offers for noise insulation had been withdrawn.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/22 SACF to write to the Minister concerning the possible expansion of the noise insulation programme to areas outside the current boundaries set by the ANEF and to include Kurnell as a special case to receive noise insulation to ensure it remains a prominent issue.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/23 Mr Clarke to correspond with the Chair, Mr McLean, Airservices and Ms Linda Addison, DOTARS concerning an appropriate person for placement in Airservices as a community advocate before the next meeting of the Forum.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/24 Community Advocate to report back to SACF on issues identified during his or her placement at Airservices that may be of community concern

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/25 Airservices to report to SACF with the URL (Universal Resource Locator), number and details of calls lodged over the Internet and, also, to give further consideration to using other means for the promotion of the Internet service.



Agenda Item 4 Action 35/26 SACF Chair in consultation with Mr Balzola draft a motion that outlines its concerns with the master plan process and elaborate on what may be included in the draft master plan.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/27 SACF members to receive a copy of the Senate's Report on the *Inquiry into the Development of Brisbane Airport Corporation Master Plan*.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/28 The Department of Transport and Regional Services to provide a report on the reasons for implementation and non implementation of the eight recommendations contained in the Senate Report from the *Inquiry into the Development of Brisbane Airport Corporation Master Plan*.

Agenda Item 4 Action 35/29 The Chair to convene a meeting within the month to discuss SACF's contribution to the Sydney Airport Master Plan.

Attachment B

Presentation by

Jitahdas Consulting

Study to Implement LTOP – High and Wide Flight Paths (SACF Document 2003/041)

Report to SACF on 31 March 2003

(separate PDF Document)

Attachment C

Presentation by

Mr Robert Balzola

on behalf of

Mr John Murphy MP, Federal Member for Lowe

Sydney Airport Master Plan -

Statutory Obligations under the Airports Act 1996 and recommendations
(SACF Document 2003/025)

(separate PDF Document)